

Toric Fano varieties with divisorial contractions to curves

HIROSHI SATO

Abstract

In this paper, we obtain a complete classification of smooth toric Fano varieties equipped with extremal contractions which contract divisors to curves for any dimension. As an application, we obtain a complete classification of smooth projective toric varieties which can be equivariantly blown-up to Fano along curves.

1 Introduction

Toric *Fano* d -fold X is a smooth projective toric d -fold whose anti-canonical divisor $-K_X$ is ample. Toric Fano d -folds are classified for $d \leq 4$ (see Batyrev [2], Oda [9], Sato [11] and Watanabe-Watanabe [12]).

On the other hand, Bonavero [3] classified toric Fano d -folds equipped with extremal contractions which contract divisors to *points* for any d . As a next step for this result, in this paper, we obtain a complete classification of toric Fano d -folds equipped with extremal contractions which contract divisors to *curves* for any d (see Section 4). Moreover, similarly as in Bonavero [3], we can classify smooth projective toric d -folds which can be equivariantly blown-up to Fano along curves for any d (see Section 5).

The author wishes to thank Professors Shihoko Ishii and Tatsuhiro Minagawa for advice and encouragement.

2 Primitive collections and primitive relations

In this section, we review the concepts of primitive collections and primitive relations. They are very useful. See Batyrev [1], [2], Casagrande [5], [6] and Sato [11] more precisely. For fundamental properties of the toric geometry, see Fulton [7] and Oda [9].

Definition 2.1 Let X be a smooth complete toric d -fold, Σ the corresponding fan in $N := \mathbf{Z}^d$ and $G(\Sigma) \subset N$ the set of primitive generators of 1-dimensional cones in Σ . A subset $P \subset G(\Sigma)$ is called a *primitive collection* of Σ if P does not generate a cone in Σ , while any proper subset of P generates a cone in Σ . We denote by $PC(\Sigma)$ the set of primitive collections of Σ .

Let $P = \{x_1, \dots, x_m\}$ be a primitive collection of Σ . Then, there exists a unique cone $\sigma(P)$ in Σ such that $x_1 + \dots + x_m$ is contained in the relative interior of $\sigma(P)$, because X is complete. So, we get an equality

$$x_1 + \dots + x_m = a_1 y_1 + \dots + a_n y_n,$$

where $y_1, \dots, y_n$ are the generators of $\sigma(P)$, that is, $\sigma(P) \cap G(\Sigma) = \{y_1, \dots, y_n\}$, and $a_1, \dots, a_n$ are positive integers. We call this equality the *primitive relation* of P . Thus, we obtain an element $r(P)$ in $A_1(X)$ for any primitive collection $P \in PC(\Sigma)$, where $A_1(X)$ is the group of 1-cycles on X modulo rational equivalences. We define the *degree* of P as $\deg P := (-K_X \cdot r(P)) = m - (a_1 + \dots + a_n)$. The following is important.

Proposition 2.2 (Batyrev [1], Reid [10]) *Let X be a smooth projective toric variety and Σ the corresponding fan. Then*

$$\mathbf{NE}(X) = \sum_{P \in PC(\Sigma)} \mathbf{R}_{\geq 0} r(P),$$

where $\mathbf{NE}(X)$ is the Mori cone of X .

A primitive collection P is called an *extremal* primitive collection when $r(P)$ is contained in an extremal ray of $\mathbf{NE}(X)$. In particular, if $\deg P = 1$ for a primitive collection $P \in PC(\Sigma)$, then P is an extremal primitive collection.

Definition 2.3 Let X be a smooth projective algebraic d -fold. Then, X is called a *Fano* d -fold, if its anti-canonical divisor $-K_X$ is ample.

By using the notion of primitive collections and primitive relations, toric Fano d -folds are characterized as follows.

Proposition 2.4 (Batyrev [2], Sato [11]) *Let X be a smooth projective toric d -fold and Σ the corresponding fan. Then, X is Fano if and only if $\deg P > 0$ for any primitive collection $P \in \text{PC}(\Sigma)$.*

We review some important results about toric Fano d -folds, primitive collections and primitive relations in Casagrande [5], [6] and Sato [11]. They are necessary for the classification.

Proposition 2.5 (Casagrande [5], Sato [11]) *Let X be a smooth projective toric d -fold, Σ the corresponding fan and P a primitive collection of Σ with primitive relation $x_1 + \cdots + x_m = a_1 y_1 + \cdots + a_n y_n$, where $\{x_1, \dots, x_m, y_1, \dots, y_n\} \subset G(\Sigma)$ and $a_1, \dots, a_n \in \mathbf{Z}_{>0}$. If P is extremal, then, for any $P' \in \text{PC}(\Sigma)$ such that $P \cap P' \neq \emptyset$ and $P \neq P'$, the set $(P' \setminus P) \cup \{y_1, \dots, y_n\}$ contains a primitive collection.*

Proposition 2.6 (Casagrande [6]) *Let X be a toric Fano d -fold and Σ the corresponding fan. Suppose that $\{x, (-x)\} \in \text{PC}(\Sigma)$. Then, for a primitive collection $P = \{x, y_1, \dots, y_m\} \in \text{PC}(\Sigma)$ containing x such that $P \neq \{x, (-x)\}$, its primitive relation is*

$$x + y_1 + \cdots + y_m = z_1 + \cdots + z_m,$$

where $\{z_1, \dots, z_m\} \subset G(\Sigma)$. Moreover, $\{(-x), z_1, \dots, z_m\}$ is also a primitive collection of Σ and its primitive relation is

$$(-x) + z_1 + \cdots + z_m = y_1 + \cdots + y_m.$$

These primitive relations are extremal.

Proposition 2.7 (Casagrande [6]) *Let X be a toric Fano d -fold and Σ the corresponding fan. If there exist two distinct primitive relations $x + y = z$ and $x + w = v$, where $\{x, y, z, v, w\} \subset G(\Sigma)$, then $w = (-z)$ and $v = (-y)$. In particular, we have the primitive relations*

$$x + y = z, \quad x + (-z) = (-y), \quad y + (-y) = 0, \quad z + (-z) = 0 \quad \text{and} \quad z + (-y) = x.$$

Theorem 2.8 (Casagrande [6]) *Let X be a toric Fano d -fold and D a toric prime divisor on X . Then, we have $0 \leq \rho(X) - \rho(D) \leq 3$, where $\rho(X)$ (resp. $\rho(D)$) is the Picard number of X (resp. D). Moreover, if $\rho(X) - \rho(D) = 3$, then X is an S_6 -bundle over a toric Fano $(d-2)$ -fold, where S_6 is the del Pezzo surface of degree 6.*

We close this section by giving the following fundamental definition and proposition.

Definition 2.9 Let X be a smooth complete toric d -fold and Σ the corresponding fan. Σ is called a *splitting fan* if $P \cap P' = \emptyset$ for any distinct primitive collections $P, P' \in \text{PC}(\Sigma)$

Proposition 2.10 (Batyrev [1]) *Let X be a smooth complete toric d -fold and Σ the corresponding fan. Then, Σ is a splitting fan if and only if there exists a sequence of smooth complete toric varieties*

$$X = X_1 \xrightarrow{\psi_1} X_2 \xrightarrow{\psi_2} \cdots \xrightarrow{\psi_{r-1}} X_r \xrightarrow{\psi_r} X_{r+1}$$

such that ψ_i is a toric projective space bundle structure for $1 \leq i \leq r$, while X_{r+1} is a projective space.

3 Classification

In this section, we give the classification of toric Fano d -folds equipped with extremal contractions which contract divisors to curves. Since toric Fano d -folds are classified for $d \leq 4$, we assume $d \geq 5$ throughout this paper.

Let X be a toric Fano d -fold, Σ the corresponding fan and $\varphi : X \rightarrow Y$ an extremal contraction which contracts a divisor E to a curve. We use this notation throughout this section. Since E is a toric prime divisor on X , we have

$$0 \leq \rho(X) - \rho(E) \leq 3$$

by Theorem 2.8. On the other hand, since $\varphi(E)$ is isomorphic to $\mathbf{P}^1$, we have $\rho(E) = 2$. Therefore, the above inequalities are

$$2 \leq \rho(X) \leq 5.$$

So, we consider the classification for these four cases separately. Let $x_1 + \cdots + x_{d-1} = \alpha x$ be the extremal primitive relation corresponding to φ , where $\{x_1, \dots, x_{d-1}, x\} \subset G(\Sigma)$

and $1 \leq \alpha \leq d-2$, $\{y_1, y_2\} \subset G(\Sigma)$ the distinct elements such that $\{x_1, \dots, x_{d-2}, x, y_1\}$ and $\{x_1, \dots, x_{d-2}, x, y_2\}$ generate maximal cones of Σ , and $\{z_1, \dots, z_{\rho(X)-2}\} \subset G(\Sigma)$ the other elements.

(I) $\rho(X) = 2$.

Kleinschmidt [8] showed that the fan of a smooth complete toric d -fold of Picard number 2 is a splitting fan. Therefore, in this case, X is a $\mathbf{P}^2$ -bundle over a $\mathbf{P}^{d-2}$. So, we can easily determine the corresponding fan (see Section 4).

(II) $\rho(X) = 3$.

Smooth projective toric d -folds of Picard number 3 are classified in Batyrev [1]. There are explicit descriptions of fans as follows.

Theorem 3.1 (Batyrev [1]) *Let X be a smooth projective toric d -fold of Picard number 3 and Σ the corresponding fan. Then, one of the following holds.*

(1) Σ is a splitting fan.

(2) $\#\text{PC}(\Sigma) = 5$.

Moreover, in the case of (2), there exists $(p_0, p_1, p_2, p_3, p_4) \in (\mathbf{Z}_{>0})^5$ such that the primitive relations of Σ are

$$v_1 + \dots + v_{p_0} + s_1 + \dots + s_{p_1} = c_2 w_2 + \dots + c_{p_2} w_{p_2} + (b_1 + 1)t_1 + \dots + (b_{p_3} + 1)t_{p_3},$$

$$s_1 + \dots + s_{p_1} + w_1 + \dots + w_{p_2} = u_1 + \dots + u_{p_4}, \quad w_1 + \dots + w_{p_2} + t_1 + \dots + t_{p_3} = 0,$$

$$t_1 + \dots + t_{p_3} + u_1 + \dots + u_{p_4} = s_1 + \dots + s_{p_1} \quad \text{and}$$

$$u_1 + \dots + u_{p_4} + v_1 + \dots + v_{p_0} = c_2 w_2 + \dots + c_{p_2} w_{p_2} + b_1 t_1 + \dots + b_{p_3} t_{p_3},$$

where $G(\Sigma) = \{v_1, \dots, v_{p_0}, s_1, \dots, s_{p_1}, w_1, \dots, w_{p_2}, t_1, \dots, t_{p_3}, u_1, \dots, u_{p_4}\}$ and $c_2, \dots, c_{p_2}, b_1, \dots, b_{p_3} \in \mathbf{Z}_{\geq 0}$.

Using this theorem, we can determine fans completely (see Section 4).

(III) $\rho(X) = 4$.

This case is the main part of the classification. First, we need the following proposition.

Proposition 3.2 *Let X be a toric Fano d -fold and Σ the corresponding fan. Suppose that $G(\Sigma) = \{x_1, \dots, x_{d-1}, u_1, u_2, u_3, v_1, v_2\}$, and that we have the primitive relations*

$$u_1 + u_3 = u_2, \quad u_1 + v_1 = 0, \quad u_2 + v_1 = u_3, \quad u_2 + v_2 = 0 \quad \text{and} \quad u_3 + v_2 = v_1,$$

and the extremal primitive relation

$$x_1 + \dots + x_{d-1} = \alpha x,$$

where $x \in \{u_1, u_2, u_3\}$ and $1 \leq \alpha \leq d-2$. Then, X is an S_7 -bundle over $\mathbf{P}^{d-2}$, where S_7 is the del Pezzo surface of degree 7.

To prove Proposition 3.2, we need the following lemmas.

Lemma 3.3 *Let $P \in \text{PC}(\Sigma)$ be a primitive collection. Then, the following hold.*

- (1) *If $P \cap \{x_1, \dots, x_{d-1}\} \neq \emptyset$, then $x \notin P$.*
- (2) *If $P \cap \{u_1, u_3\} \neq \emptyset$, then $u_2 \notin P$.*
- (3) *If $P \cap \{u_2, v_1\} \neq \emptyset$, then $u_3 \notin P$.*
- (4) *If $P \cap \{u_3, v_2\} \neq \emptyset$, then $v_1 \notin P$.*

Proof. We prove the case of (1). The other cases are similar.

Suppose that $x \in P$. Since $x_1 + \dots + x_{d-1} = \alpha x$ is an extremal primitive relation, $(P \setminus \{x_1, \dots, x_{d-1}\}) \cup \{x\}$ contains a primitive collection by Proposition 2.5. However, this is impossible, because $(P \setminus \{x_1, \dots, x_{d-1}\}) \cup \{x\}$ is a proper subset of the primitive collection P . So, we have $x \notin P$. q.e.d.

Lemma 3.4 *Let $P \in \text{PC}(\Sigma)$. If $P \cap \{x_1, \dots, x_{d-1}\} \neq \emptyset$ and $P \cap \{u_1, u_2, u_3, v_1, v_2\} \neq \emptyset$, then there exists $w \in P \setminus \{x_1, \dots, x_{d-1}\}$ such that $\{x, w\}$ is a primitive collection.*

Proof. By Proposition 2.5, $(P \setminus \{x_1, \dots, x_{d-1}\}) \cup \{x\}$ contains a primitive collection. On the other hand, obviously, there does not exist a primitive collection contained in $\{u_1, u_2, u_3, v_1, v_2\}$ other than $\{u_1, u_3\}$, $\{u_1, v_1\}$, $\{u_2, v_1\}$, $\{u_2, v_2\}$ and $\{u_3, v_2\}$. q.e.d.

Proof of Proposition 3.2. Suppose that there exists a primitive collection $P \in \text{PC}(\Sigma)$ such that $P \cap \{x_1, \dots, x_{d-1}\} \neq \emptyset$ and $P \cap \{u_1, u_2, u_3, v_1, v_2\} \neq \emptyset$. Put $S =$

$P \setminus \{x_1, \dots, x_{d-1}\}$. We may assume $P = S \cup \{x_1, \dots, x_l\}$ for $1 \leq l < d-1$. We have to consider the following three cases.

$x = u_1$. By Lemma 3.4, $u_3 \in P$ or $v_1 \in P$. For the case $u_3 \in P$, we have $u_2 \notin P$ and $v_1 \notin P$ by (2) and (4) in Lemma 3.3. Moreover, we have $v_2 \notin P$, since S does not contain any primitive collection. So, we have $S = \{u_3\}$. Similarly, we have $S = \{v_1\}$ for the case $v_1 \in P$.

Suppose that $S = \{u_3\}$. Since $u_1 + u_3 = u_2$ is an extremal primitive relation, $\{u_2, x_1, \dots, x_l\}$ contains a primitive collection by Proposition 2.5. This contradicts Lemma 3.4.

Suppose that $S = \{v_1\}$. Since $u_2 + v_1 = u_3$ is an extremal primitive relation, $\{u_3, x_1, \dots, x_l\}$ contains a primitive collection by Proposition 2.5. This is impossible as above.

$x = u_2$. Similarly as in the case $x = u_1$, there exist three possibilities $S = \{v_1\}$, $S = \{v_2\}$ and $S = \{u_1, v_2\}$.

Suppose that $S = \{v_1\}$ or $S = \{v_2\}$. These cases are impossible by the similar argument as in the case $x = u_1$.

Let $S = \{u_1, v_2\}$. Since $u_2 + v_2 = 0$, there exist two primitive relations

$$v_2 + u_1 + x_1 + \dots + x_l = w_1 + \dots + w_{l+1} \text{ and } u_2 + w_1 + \dots + w_{l+1} = u_1 + x_1 + \dots + x_l$$

by Proposition 2.6, where $\{w_1, \dots, w_{l+1}\} \subset G(\Sigma)$. Since $\#\{u_2, w_1, \dots, w_{l+1}\} \geq 3$, we have $\{w_1, \dots, w_{l+1}\} \cap \{x_1, \dots, x_{d-1}\} \neq \emptyset$. This contradicts (1) in Lemma 3.3.

$x = u_3$. We can prove that this case is also impossible by the similar argument as in the case $x = u_2$.

Therefore, there does not exist a primitive collection other than

$$\{u_1, u_3\}, \{u_1, v_1\}, \{u_2, v_1\}, \{u_2, v_2\}, \{u_3, v_2\} \text{ and } \{x_1, \dots, x_{d-1}\}.$$

Thus, X is an S_7 -bundle over $\mathbf{P}^{d-2}$.

q.e.d.

Now, we return to the classification. We have $G(\Sigma) = \{x_1, \dots, x_{d-1}, x, y_1, y_2, z_1, z_2\}$. $P_1 = \{x, z_1\}$ and $P_2 = \{x, z_2\}$ are primitive collections. It is sufficient to consider the classification for the following four cases.

$$(1) \deg P_1 = \deg P_2 = 1.$$

$$(2) x + z_1 = 0 \text{ and } x + z_2 = y_1.$$

$$(3) x + z_1 = 0 \text{ and } x + z_2 = z_1.$$

$$(4) x + z_1 = 0 \text{ and } x + z_2 = x_1.$$

(1) $\deg P_1 = \deg P_2 = 1$. By Proposition 2.7, $\{(-z_1), (-z_2)\} \subset G(\Sigma)$ and the primitive relations corresponding to P_1 and P_2 are

$$x + z_1 = (-z_2) \text{ and } x + z_2 = (-z_1),$$

respectively. If $(-z_1) = x_i$ for some $1 \leq i \leq d-1$, then we have the primitive relation $x_i + z_1 = 0$. However, since $x_1 + \cdots + x_{d-1} = \alpha x$ is an extremal primitive relation, this contradicts Proposition 2.6 as long as $d \geq 4$. Therefore, $(-z_1) \in \{y_1, y_2\}$. Similarly, $(-z_2) \in \{y_1, y_2\}$. Let $y_1 = (-z_1)$ and $y_2 = (-z_2)$. Then, we have the primitive relations

$$y_1 + z_1 = 0, y_2 + z_2 = 0, x + z_1 = y_2, x + z_2 = y_1 \text{ and } y_1 + y_2 = x.$$

So, X is an S_7 -bundle over $\mathbf{P}^{d-2}$ by Proposition 3.2.

(2) $x + z_1 = 0$ and $x + z_2 = y_1$. Obviously, $\{x, y_1, y_2\}$ contains a primitive collection. Suppose that $\{x, y_1, y_2\}$ is a primitive collection. Since $x + z_2 = y_1$ is an extremal primitive relation, $\{y_1, y_2\}$ is a primitive collection by Proposition 2.5. This is a contradiction. So, $\{y_1, y_2\}$ is a primitive collection.

$y_1 + z_1 = z_2$ is also an extremal primitive relation. So, $\{y_2, z_2\}$ is a primitive collection by Proposition 2.5. Suppose that $y_1 + y_2 \neq 0$ and $y_2 + z_2 \neq 0$. Then, $(-y_1), (-z_2) \in G(\Sigma)$, and hence we have the primitive relations $y_1 + y_2 = (-z_2)$ and $y_2 + z_2 = (-y_1)$ by Proposition 2.7. Therefore, $\{y_1, y_2\}$, $\{y_1, z_1\}$ and $\{y_1, (-y_1)\}$ are distinct primitive collections. So, we have $\rho(X) - \rho(D_1) = 3$, where D_1 is the toric prime divisor corresponding to y_1 . By Theorem 2.8, X has an S_6 -bundle structure. This is impossible.

If $y_1 + y_2 = 0$, then we have the primitive relations

$$x + z_1 = 0, x + z_2 = y_1, y_1 + y_2 = 0, y_1 + z_1 = z_2 \text{ and } y_2 + z_2 = z_1.$$

By Proposition 3.2, X is an S_7 -bundle over $\mathbf{P}^{d-2}$.

If $y_2 + z_2 = 0$, then we have the primitive relations

$$x + z_1 = 0, \quad x + z_2 = y_1, \quad y_1 + y_2 = x, \quad y_1 + z_1 = z_2 \text{ and } y_2 + z_2 = 0.$$

By Proposition 3.2, X is an S_7 -bundle over $\mathbf{P}^{d-2}$.

(3) $x + z_1 = 0$ and $x + z_2 = z_1$. Since $x + z_2 = z_1$ is an extremal primitive relation, we have a contradiction by Proposition 2.5. So, this case is impossible.

(4) $x + z_1 = 0$ and $x + z_2 = x_1$. $\{x, y_1, y_2\}$ contains a primitive collection. Suppose that $\{x, y_1, y_2\}$ is a primitive collection. By Proposition 2.6, we have two extremal primitive relations

$$x + y_1 + y_2 = w_1 + w_2 \text{ and } z_1 + w_1 + w_2 = y_1 + y_2,$$

where $\{w_1, w_2\} \subset G(\Sigma)$ and $w_1 \neq w_2$. By Proposition 2.5, we have $z_2 \notin \{w_1, w_2\}$, because $\{x, z_2\}$ is a primitive collection. Therefore, $\{w_1, w_2\} \subset \{x_1, \dots, x_{d-1}\}$. Let $w_1 = x_i$ and $w_2 = x_j$ ($1 \leq i < j \leq d-1$). Since $x + z_2 = x_1$ is an extremal primitive collection, $\{x_1, y_1, y_2\}$ contains a primitive collection by Proposition 2.5. So, $\{x_1, y_1, y_2\}$ is a primitive collection. Since $x + y_1 + y_2 = x_i + x_j$ is an extremal primitive collection, $\{x_1, x_i, x_j\}$ contains a primitive collection by Proposition 2.5. However, this is impossible as long as $d \geq 5$. So, $\{y_1, y_2\}$ is a primitive collection.

$x_1 + z_1 = z_2$ is an extremal primitive relation. So, $\{x_2, \dots, x_{d-1}, z_2\}$ is also a primitive collection by Proposition 2.5. Thus, we have the primitive relations

$$x_1 + \dots + x_{d-1} = \alpha x, \quad x_2 + \dots + x_{d-1} + z_2 = (\alpha - 1)x,$$

$$x + z_1 = 0, \quad x + z_2 = x_1 \text{ and } z_1 + x_1 = z_2.$$

Therefore, Σ contains a subfan Σ' such that $G(\Sigma') = \{x_1, \dots, x_{d-1}, x, z_1, z_2\}$ and the corresponding toric $(d-1)$ -fold X' is a toric Fano $(d-1)$ -fold equipped with an extremal contraction which contracts a divisor to a point. Since $\{y_1, y_2\}$ is a primitive collection, X is an X' -bundle over $\mathbf{P}^1$.

Thus, we obtain the following theorem.

Theorem 3.5 *Let X be a toric Fano d -fold of Picard number 4. If there exists an extremal contraction from X which contracts a divisor to a curve, then X is either an S_7 -bundle over $\mathbf{P}^{d-2}$ or an X' -bundle over $\mathbf{P}^1$, where X' is a toric Fano $(d-1)$ -fold equipped with an extremal contraction which contracts a divisor to a point.*

By Theorem 3.5, we can describe Σ explicitly (see Section 4).

(IV) $\rho(X) = 5$.

By Theorem 2.8, X is an S_6 -bundle over a $\mathbf{P}^{d-2}$. So, we can easily determine the corresponding fan (see Section 4).

4 The classified list

In this section, we give the complete list of toric Fano d -folds equipped with extremal contractions which contract divisors to curves. We assume $d \geq 5$. Let $1 \leq \alpha \leq d - 2$.

(I) $\rho(X) = 2$. X is a $\mathbf{P}^2$ -bundle $\mathbf{P}_{\mathbf{P}^{d-2}}(\mathcal{O} \oplus \mathcal{O} \oplus \mathcal{O}(\alpha))$ over $\mathbf{P}^{d-2}$. The primitive relations are

$$x_1 + \cdots + x_{d-1} = \alpha x_d \text{ and } x_d + x_{d+1} + x_{d+2} = 0,$$

where $G(\Sigma) = \{x_1, \dots, x_{d+2}\}$.

(IIa) $\rho(X) = 3$ and Σ is a splitting fan. Let $G(\Sigma) = \{x_1, \dots, x_{d+3}\}$. The primitive relations are $x_{d+2} + x_{d+3} = 0$ and

Case	1	2	3	4	5
$x_1 + \cdots + x_{d-1} =$	αx_d	αx_d	αx_{d+2}	αx_{d+3}	αx_{d+2}
$x_d + x_{d+1} =$	0	x_{d+2}	x_{d+2}	x_{d+2}	x_1

(IIb) $\rho(X) = 3$ and Σ is not a splitting fan. Put $G(\Sigma) = \{x_1, \dots, x_{d+3}\}$. There exist the following four cases.

(1) The primitive relations are $x_1 + \cdots + x_{d-1} = \alpha x_d$, $x_1 + \cdots + x_{d-3} + x_{d+1} + x_{d+2} = (\alpha - 1)x_d$, $x_{d-2} + x_{d-1} + x_{d+3} = x_{d+1} + x_{d+2}$, $x_d + x_{d+1} + x_{d+2} = x_{d-2} + x_{d-1}$ and $x_d + x_{d+3} = 0$.

(2) The primitive relations are $x_1 + \cdots + x_{d-1} = \alpha x_d$, $x_1 + \cdots + x_{d-2} + x_{d+3} = (\alpha - 1)x_d$, $x_{d-1} + x_{d+1} + x_{d+2} = x_{d+3}$, $x_d + x_{d+1} + x_{d+2} = 0$ and $x_d + x_{d+3} = x_{d-1}$.

(3) $\alpha = 1$. The primitive relations are $x_1 + \cdots + x_{d-1} = x_d$, $x_3 + \cdots + x_{d-1} + x_{d+1} + x_{d+2} = 0$, $x_1 + x_2 + x_{d+3} = x_{d+1} + x_{d+2}$, $x_d + x_{d+1} + x_{d+2} = x_1 + x_2$ and $x_d + x_{d+3} = 0$.

(4) $\alpha = 1$. The primitive relations are $x_1 + \cdots + x_{d-1} = x_d$, $x_2 + \cdots + x_{d-1} + x_{d+3} = 0$, $x_d + x_{d+3} = x_1$ and

Case	1	2	3
$x_1 + x_{d+1} + x_{d+2} =$	x_{d+3}	$2x_{d+3}$	$x_2 + x_{d+3}$
$x_d + x_{d+1} + x_{d+2} =$	0	x_{d+3}	x_2

(IIIa) $\rho(X) = 4$ and X is an S_7 -bundle over $\mathbf{P}^{d-2}$. Let $G(\Sigma) = \{x_1, \dots, x_{d+4}\}$. The primitive relations of Σ are $x_d + x_{d+2} = x_{d+1}$, $x_d + x_{d+3} = x_{d+4}$, $x_{d+1} + x_{d+3} = 0$, $x_{d+1} + x_{d+4} = x_d$, $x_{d+2} + x_{d+4} = 0$ and

Case	1	2	3
$x_1 + \dots + x_{d-1} =$	αx_d	αx_{d+1}	αx_{d+2}

(IIIb) $\rho(X) = 4$ and X is a toric bundle over $\mathbf{P}^1$. X is an X' -bundle over $\mathbf{P}^1$, where X' is a toric Fano $(d-1)$ -fold equipped with an extremal contraction which contracts a divisor to a point. Let $G(\Sigma) = \{x_1, \dots, x_{d+4}\}$. The primitive relations of Σ are $x_1 + \dots + x_{d-1} = \alpha x_d$, $x_2 + \dots + x_{d-1} + x_{d+4} = (\alpha - 1)x_d$, $x_d + x_{d+3} = 0$, $x_d + x_{d+4} = x_1$, $x_1 + x_{d+3} = x_{d+4}$ and

Case	1	2	3	4	5	6
$x_{d+1} + x_{d+2} =$	0	x_1	x_2	x_d	x_{d+3}	x_{d+4}

(IV) $\rho(X) = 5$. X is an S_6 -bundle over $\mathbf{P}^{d-2}$. The primitive relations of Σ are $x_1 + \dots + x_{d-1} = \alpha x_d$, $x_d + x_{d+2} = x_{d+1}$, $x_d + x_{d+3} = 0$, $x_d + x_{d+4} = x_{d+5}$, $x_{d+1} + x_{d+3} = x_{d+2}$, $x_{d+1} + x_{d+4} = 0$, $x_{d+1} + x_{d+5} = x_d$, $x_{d+2} + x_{d+4} = x_{d+3}$, $x_{d+2} + x_{d+5} = 0$ and $x_{d+3} + x_{d+5} = x_{d+4}$, where $G(\Sigma) = \{x_1, \dots, x_{d+5}\}$.

Remark 4.1 If $d \leq 4$, there exist toric Fano d -folds equipped with extremal contractions which contract divisors to curves which are not contained in this list (see Batyrev [2], Sato [11] and Watanabe-Watanabe [12]).

5 Projective toric varieties whose toric blow-up along a curve is Fano

By blowing-down the toric Fano d -folds in Section 4 such that $\alpha = 1$, we can obtain the classification of smooth projective toric d -folds which can be equivariantly blown-up to Fano along curves. We assume $d \geq 5$.

(I) $\rho(X) = 1$. X is $\mathbf{P}^d$. The primitive relation is

$$x_1 + \cdots + x_{d+1} = 0,$$

where $G(\Sigma) = \{x_1, \dots, x_{d+1}\}$.

(IIa) X is either a $\mathbf{P}^1$ -bundle over $\mathbf{P}^{d-1}$ or a $\mathbf{P}^{d-1}$ -bundle over $\mathbf{P}^1$. Let $G(\Sigma) = \{x_1, \dots, x_{d+2}\}$. The primitive relations are as follows:

Case	1	2	3	4
$x_1 + \cdots + x_d =$	0	x_{d+1}	0	0
$x_{d+1} + x_{d+2} =$	0	0	$x_1 + \cdots + x_{d-1}$	x_1

(IIb) $\rho(X) = 2$ and X is a $\mathbf{P}^{d-2}$ -bundle over $\mathbf{P}^2$. Let $G(\Sigma) = \{x_1, \dots, x_{d+2}\}$. The primitive relations are $x_1 + \cdots + x_{d-1} = 0$ and

Case	1	2	3
$x_d + x_{d+1} + x_{d+2} =$	x_1	$2x_1$	$x_1 + x_2$

(IIIa) $\rho(X) = 3$ and Σ is not a splitting fan. Let $G(\Sigma) = \{x_1, \dots, x_{d+3}\}$. The primitive relations of Σ are as follows:

Case	1	2	3
$x_{d+2} + x_{d+3} =$	$x_1 + \cdots + x_{d-1}$	$x_1 + \cdots + x_{d-1}$	0
$x_1 + \cdots + x_d =$	x_{d+2}	0	x_{d+2}
$x_1 + \cdots + x_{d-1} + x_{d+1} =$	x_{d+3}	x_{d+3}	0
$x_d + x_{d+3} =$	0	x_{d+1}	x_{d+1}
$x_{d+1} + x_{d+2} =$	0	0	x_d

(IIIb) $\rho(X) = 3$ and Σ is a splitting fan. Let $G(\Sigma) = \{x_1, \dots, x_{d+3}\}$. The primitive relations of Σ are $x_2 + \cdots + x_d = 0$, $x_1 + x_{d+3} = x_d$ and

Case	1	2	3	4	5
$x_{d+1} + x_{d+2} =$	0	x_1	x_2	$x_1 + \cdots + x_{d-1}$	x_d

(IV) $\rho(X) = 4$. The primitive relations of Σ are $x_1 + \cdots + x_{d-1} + x_{d+2} = x_{d+1}$, $x_1 + \cdots + x_{d-1} + x_{d+3} = 0$, $x_1 + \cdots + x_{d-1} + x_{d+4} = x_d$, $x_{d+1} + x_{d+3} = x_{d+2}$, $x_{d+1} + x_{d+4} = 0$, $x_d + x_{d+1} = x_1 + \cdots + x_{d-1}$, $x_{d+2} + x_{d+4} = x_{d+3}$, $x_d + x_{d+2} = 0$ and $x_d + x_{d+3} = x_{d+4}$, where $G(\Sigma) = \{x_1, \dots, x_{d+4}\}$.

Remark 5.1 If $d \leq 4$, there exist smooth projective toric d -folds which can be equivariantly blown-up to Fano along curves which are not contained in this list (see Batyrev [2], Sato [11] and Watanabe-Watanabe [12]).

References

- [1] V. V. Batyrev, On the classification of smooth projective toric varieties, *Tohoku Math. J.* 43 (1991), 569–585.
- [2] V. V. Batyrev, On the classification of toric Fano 4-folds, *Algebraic geometry*, 9, *J. Math. Sci. (New York)* 94 (1999), 1021–1050.
- [3] L. Bonavero, Toric varieties whose blow-up at a point is Fano, [math.AG/0012229](#).
- [4] L. Bonavero, F. Campana, J. A. Wiśniewski, Variétés projectives complexes dont l'éclatée en un point est de Fano, [math.AG/0106047](#).
- [5] C. Casagrande, Contractible classes in toric varieties, [math.AG/0111332](#).
- [6] C. Casagrande, Toric Fano varieties and birational morphisms, [math.AG/0112007](#).
- [7] W. Fulton, *Introduction to Toric Varieties*, *Ann. of Math. Studies* 131, Princeton Univ. Press, Princeton, NJ, 1993.
- [8] P. Kleinschmidt, A classification of toric varieties with few generators, *Aequationes Math.* 35 (1988), 254–266.
- [9] T. Oda, *Convex Bodies and Algebraic Geometry—An introduction to the theory of toric varieties*, *Ergeb. Math. Grenzgeb.* (3), Vol. 15, Springer-Verlag, Berlin, Heidelberg, New York, London, Paris, Tokyo, 1988.
- [10] M. Reid, Decomposition of toric morphisms, in *Arithmetic and Geometry*, papers dedicated to I. R. Shafarevich on the occasion of his 60th birthday (M. Artin and J. Tate, eds.), vol. II, *Geometry*, *Progress in Math.* 36, Birkhäuser, Boston, Basel, Stuttgart, 1983, 395–418.
- [11] H. Sato, Toward the classification of higher-dimensional toric Fano varieties, *Tohoku Math. J.* 52 (2000), 383–413.

- [12] K. Watanabe and M. Watanabe, The classification of Fano 3-folds with torus embeddings, Tokyo J. Math. 5 (1982), 37–48.

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS
TOKYO INSTITUTE OF TECHNOLOGY
OH-OKAYAMA, MEGURO, TOKYO
JAPAN

E-mail address: `hirosato@math.titech.ac.jp`